

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.24209 of 2017
Date of Decision : 09.01.2018**

Jagir Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in DDR No.26 dated 10th June, 2017, under Sections 323, 326, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-2), recorded in case F.I.R No.62, dated 3rd June, 2017, under Sections 323/324/325/34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Talwandi Chaudrian, District Kapurthala (Punjab) (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant/respondent No.2 and other victim have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

The Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, vide report

dated 2nd August, 2017 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No.2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No.26 dated 10th June, 2017, under Sections 323, 326, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-2), recorded in case F.I.R No.62, dated 3rd June, 2017, under Sections 323/324/325/34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Talwandi Chaudrian, District Kapurthala (Punjab) (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

January 09, 2018
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No