

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.25089 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 11, 2018

Nitu Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This is the petition for cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to respondent Nos.2 to 4 by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Barnala, vide order dated 06.04.2018 in case FIR No.74, dated 24.02.2018, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Barnala, District Barnala.

Heard.

The only ground presses before this Court for cancellation of anticipatory bail is that no recovery was effected from the private respondents and all the dowry articles are yet to be recovered.

It comes out that the parties were married on 24.11.2007. The FIR has been lodged after about 11 years of the marriage alleging harassment and demand of dowry. The parties have a female child. During the hearing of the application before Sessions Court, all the respondents were made to join investigation. There is nothing on file to show that the private respondents have misused the concession of anticipatory bail. It is

for the prosecution to prove the harassment and demand of dowry. If despite joining investigation, nothing is recovered, it is not a ground to cancel the anticipatory bail.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 11, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No