

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Smriti
2018.05.15 11:27
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**CRM-M-25020-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.05.2018**

Deeksha Puri

...Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Mandhan, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nishant Joshi, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral):

Vide order dated 26.07.2016, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his prayer to the extent that in case, the petitioner is allowed to surrender before the trial Court, a direction may be issued to the trial Court to admit the petitioner on bail as the petitioner is ready to face the trial and he will not hamper the proceedings and shall not delay the trial in any manner.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already put in appearance before the trial Court and has been released on bail. Thereafter, vide order dated 30.01.2017, it was further directed that in the event of petitioner having not complied with the order dated 26.07.2016, it will be open for the trial Court/police to initiate further proceedings in accordance with law and the order dated 26.07.2016 shall not come in the way.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant have not disputed this fact that the petitioner is appearing before the trial Court.

In view of the above, the order dated 26.07.2016 as modified vide order dated 30.01.2017 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

11.05.2018
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether Reportable : Yes/No