

Criminal Misc. M- No. 24934 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : June 04, 2018

Rekha and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILLPresent: Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and other relatives because they have married each other on 31.05.2018 against the wishes of the said respondents.

Both the petitioners present in Court, duly identified by their counsel, on being asked submit that they have solemnized marriage with each other out of their own free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 20.12.1995 and 10.06.1996, respectively. True copies of Secondary Examination and Aadhar Cards of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2, respectively. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are on record as Annexures P3 and P4, respectively. It is submitted that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 31.05.2018 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, District Jhajjar for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to learned counsel for the State.

At this stage, Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate appears on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5 i.e. the parents of petitioner No. 1 and files his power of attorney. The same is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

It is not disputed that petitioner No. 1 is major. Respondent No. 4, present in Court, after interaction with petitioner No. 1, submits that he assures that there is no question of any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners at his hands or the hands of his relatives.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates/documents appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, District Jhajjar is directed to look into representation dated 31.05.2018 (Annexure P5) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action if any/as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 04, 2018
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No