

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24887-2018
Date of decision: 01.06.2018**

Rahul Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No. 2 has performed marriage with petitioner No. 1 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Shrama, Addl. P. P., U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents Nos. 1 to 3 - State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 28.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) was made to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to look into

grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation dated 28.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

It is made clear that in case any FIR is registered against petitioner No. 1, it will be open for the police authorities to take action in accordance with law.

01.06.2018
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No