

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23904-2017 (O&M)
Decided on: 07.08.2018**

Harpreet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that after completion of the investigation, challan has been prepared and filed in the Court of competent jurisdiction and now the trial against the accused is going on.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner admits this fact, though he states that some vital evidence in the form of call details, CCTV footage and the toll plaza details have not been collected by the investigating agency. This fact is controverted by learned State counsel stating that the call details and toll plaza details have been annexed with the challan.

Nevertheless, the complainant can very well move appropriate application in the trial Court in that regard, which can be disposed of as per law by the Court concerned.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to move appropriate application(s) before the trial Court in accordance with law.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

07.08.2018
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No