

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-24840 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:25.09.2018

Sandeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 1.6.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel. However, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sanjeev Kumar submits that one more case of similar nature was registered against the petitioner, in which, the offences have already been compounded.

In view of the above, interim order dated 1.6.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, in case the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case of similar nature, State is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

September 25, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No