

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.2478 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.12.2018**

Narinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Kunal Siag, Advocate for respondent No.5.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed for directing the official respondents not to harass the petitioner on account of frivolous complaint(s) made by respondent No.5.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.5 has been filed. The same is taken on record.

In pursuance of the notice of motion, issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit dated 29.10.2018 of Sh. Jaspal Singh, PPS, DSP, Sub Division, Jalalabad, District Fazilka on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has been filed. The same is taken on record and paragraph 2 of the preliminary objections thereof reads as under:-

“ That it is submitted that the respondent No.5/applicant Om Parkash moved an application (No.447-BZ-PC dated 07.12.2017) to the Inspector General of Police, Bathinda Zone, Bathinda against the petitioner Narinder Kumar and

other persons. In the said application, the applicant Om Parkash alleged that Narinder Kumar etc. grabbed Rs.5.10 lacs from him for getting cancelled FIR No.80 dated 03.08.2013, u/s 376, 34, IPC (which was registered against Rajinder Kumar son of the applicant Om Parkash). The said application was received by the S.S.P., Fazilka from the I.G. of Police, Bathinda Zone, Bathinda for enquiry and the same was marked to the respondent No.4 S.P. (Inv.), Fazilka for conducting an enquiry. The respondent No.4 (S.P. (Inv.) Fazilka conducted the enquiry in the said application by joining both the parties. During the enquiry, the said application was found to be false and the enquiry report dated 17.03.2018 was submitted to the S.S.P., Fazilka. ”

In view of the stand taken by the official respondents, grievance of the petitioner is satisfied and no further action is required in the matter at this stage. Consequently, the present petition is disposed off as such.

It is made clear that in case the occasion arises, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court again.

December 06, 2018

Gagan

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>