

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24766-2018

Date of Decision: 22.06.2018

PARMINDER KAUR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Baskar Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.
Dr. Malvika Singh, AAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.293 dated 12.11.2013 (Annexure P-6) registered under Section 406/420/120-B of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station, Phase-I, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has presented an order dated 26.07.2017, showing that in this very FIR and in the matter of this very complainant, the petitioner was granted concession of anticipatory bail with a direction to join the investigation. However, that earlier application of the petitioner was ultimately dismissed because she could not join the investigation for the reason that some other FIRs of similar nature were also registered against her.

Now, the counsel for the petitioner submits that she has been granted anticipatory bail in all other cases and in case she is granted protection against her arrest, she is ready to join the investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail sought by the petitioner. However, it is further submitted by him that in case the petitioner is granted the concession of anticipatory bail then she should be directed to join the investigation on a specified date, so that the petitioner does not misuse the concession and avoids to join the investigation as in the past.

In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on 27.06.2018 or any other date thereafter also; as deemed fit by the Investigating Officer.

It is further ordered that in case the petitioner is arrested then she shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer subject to her furnishing bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is made clear that if the petitioner does not join the investigation on the specified date or on any other date as required by the Investigating Officer, the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed; depriving the petitioner of any further benefit from the present order.

Disposed of.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

June 22, 2018
rajneesh

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No