

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

CRM-M No.24699 of 2018

Date of Decision: June 1st, 2018

Latif

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner alleges that he is being harassed by respondent No.3- Deputy Superintendent of Police (D), Hoshiarpur, at the behest of respondents 4 to 8 on wrong pretext that the petitioner had abducted Julekha, who is the daughter of respondent No.4, although as a matter of fact, she is the wife of the petitioner and after performing marriage, when they had approached this Court, got an order of protection from this Court in *CRM-M No.28494 of 2016* titled as *Julekha and another Versus State of Punjab and others* (Annexure P-1). Respondent No.4 had filed criminal writ petition No.1243 of 2016 titled as *Hussain Ali @ Sain Ali Versus State of Punjab and others*, which was disposed of by this Court considering the reply filed by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Chabbewal, District Hoshiarpur, by observing that the alleged detenue, Julekha, who was present in Court, had stated that she had married the petitioner and also obtained protection order from the Court and that she was then residing with the petitioner with her own consent and free will and she has not been illegally detained. This order is dated 22.11.2016 (Annexure P-2). He, therefore, contends that now the petitioner is being

unnecessarily and without any basis harassed, rather he contends that after

the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties during Ramazan, his wife along with minor daughter Najju were sent to the house of respondent No.4 and they have not returned till date and are still residing but it is being alleged that the petitioner has abducted her and, therefore, on this wrong allegation, petitioner is being harassed at the behest of respondent No.4. Highlighting this aspect, petitioner has submitted a representation dated 25.05.2018 (Annexure P-3) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, which has not been considered or decided till date.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, in the light of the fact that the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 25.05.2018 (Annexure P-3) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur-respondent No.2, to consider the same and if some substance is found therein, appropriate steps in accordance with law be taken.

Meanwhile, in case the petitioner is required for the purpose of investigation/inquiry, proper notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. be given to him.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

June 1st, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No