

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24672-2018

Date of Decision: 01.06.2018

Lakhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ritu Raj Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

In respect of the grievance of the petitioner, he is stated to have made a representation to the Assistant Commissioner of Police, North Jalandhar (respondent no. 4), a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition.

Consequently, without going into the merits of the case of the petitioner, the contention being that the petitioner has received 03 injuries with a sharp edged weapon, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 4, i.e. the Assistant Commissioner of Police, North Jalandhar, to go into the matter and to take action as is warranted, as per law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioner is not satisfied with the response of the ACP, he would be at liberty to approach the Commissioner of Police (respondent no. 3), who would then personally go into the matter and ensure that action is taken, as per law, of course looking at the contentions of the opposite side as well.

June 1, 2018

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

Yes.