

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23672-2017

DATE OF DECISION: FEBRUARY 5, 2018

SATBIR SINGH @ SONU

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU.

PRESENT: MR. RANJAN LAKHANPAL, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER.

MR. R.K. DOON, AAG, HARYANA.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Petitioner Satbir Singh @ Sonu has filed the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.143 dated 24.11.2016 under Sections 201 and 302 IPC Police Station Siwan, District Kaithal.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that a blind murder has been committed in the present case and the petitioner has been made an accused alleging that he assisted the main accused Baljeet by supplying him the fire arm to commit the crime. It is further contended that in order to suppress the voice of the petitioner raised against the police officials for falsely involving him in a criminal case and keeping him in illegal custody, he has been roped in as an accused in the present case.

Learned State counsel on the other hand opposed the prayer of the petitioner and submitted that to extract of truth, the investigation of the petitioner is necessary.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel and perused the case file .

In the present case, allegedly a person namely Sandeep @ Middi has been killed by accused Baljeet by shooting him to death by a fire arm and thereafter setting ablaze the dead body alongwith the car and as per the prosecution, said fire arm was supplied by the petitioner.

Keeping in view the nature of offence committed, this Court is of the view that the matter needs to be investigated to extract other material evidence for which investigation of the petitioner is just and necessary.

I find no ground to grant anticipatory bail. The petition stands dismissed.

February 5, 2018
Gulati

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes