

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17284-2002

Date of decision:- 6.7.2018.

P.L.Goyal

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents.

**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: - Mr. J.P. Ahlawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

....

A.B.Chaudhari, J.

This Court has made the following order on 27.2.2018: -

'We have heard learned counsel for the rival parties for quite some time. The respondents made an order granting voluntary retirement to the petitioner, and at the same time treated the suspension period as suspension period, as such and depriving the petitioner of the remaining allowances for the period of 28.9.2000 to 30.1.2001. This does not appear to be justified. However, we leave it to respondent No. 2 to reconsider the same within 8 weeks from today.

Registry is directed to do the needful.

Put up on 3.5.2018.'

Pursuant to the said order, the Full Court of this Court has reconsidered the case of the petitioner-P.L.Goyal. This Court has now issued an order on 24.5.2018 which is taken on record, tendered by Ms. Sharma, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 which is marked as 'X'.

Copy of the same has also been supplied to the opposite counsel.

In our opinion, grievance of the petitioner, thus, stands redressed and nothing further is required to be done.

In pursuance to the order dated 24.5.2018, present petition stands disposed of.

(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

6.7.2018
preeti

whether speaking/reasoned
whether reportable

yes/no
yes/no