

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-24527-2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:05.07.2018

Paramjit Lal @ Pamma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.123 dated 16.08.2015 under Sections 302, 201 IPC and 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

The earlier bail application was dismissed as withdrawn on 04.08.2017. Learend counsel for the petitioner states that the weapon of offence was not recovered from the petitioner rather it was recovered from his co-accused. Even Kamal Kumar who has appeared as PW3 has not supported the prosecution version as he was declared hostile. He has pointed out that out of total 24 witnesses, 12 witnesses have been examined by the prosecution. The case is now fixed for evidence on 09.07.2018.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the case is now fixed for evidence on

09.07.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

However, in case the trial court fails to conclude evidence within six months from 09.07.2018, the petitioner shall be permitted to file a fresh bail application before the trial court. It is made clear that in case it is found that the delay is being caused by the petitioner or other co-accused, the same would be considered by the trial court. The petitioner or other co-accused shall not cause any delay in trial.

July 05, 2018
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No