

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

105

CRM-M-24327 of 2018

Date of decision:1.6.2018

Raj Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Prabhjot Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that the entire money was received by Nihal Kaur even as per the FIR and there was no question therefore for the petitioner to keep Rs.60,000/-, however, it is not denied anywhere that the land in question was encumbered by a loan, which fact was not disclosed either by the petitioner or Nihal Kaur (at least as per the allegations made in the FIR), which facts are not shown to be refuted at any stage.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual culpability of the petitioner or otherwise, which would be gone into by the investigating agency and the competent Court at the appropriate stage, this petition is dismissed

1.6.2018
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
Yes