

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-24318 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 27, 2018

Lal Singh

... *Petitioner*

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... *Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Khushdeep S. Mann, Advocate,
for Mr. ADS Jattana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate,
for respondents no. 5 to 7.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief:-

“i. For the issuance of a direction to the respondent No.1 to 3 for conducting a proper enquiry/investigation into the representations dated 31.07.2017, Annexure P-2, given by the petitioner against the private respondents No.5 as the respondent No.5 had committed the cognizable offence of having forged and fabricated the agreement to sell dated 08/05/2015, Annexure P-2, and despite the fact that the Handwriting Expert had given the report dated 08/12/2017, Annexure P-6, finding the agreement to sell being forged and fabricated, it is because of respondent No.4, the DSP, no FIR has been registered against the private respondents;

ii. Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the peculiar facts and

circumstances of the present case, including the fact that the petitioner being an old man may lose his valuable agricultural land because of the inaction of the police/respondent no.2 and 3 and on the asking of respondent No.4;

iii. For issuance of a direction, writ or order to the respondent No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members as the same is being threatened at the hands of respondent No.5 to 7.”

2. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Sohan Singh, P.P.S., Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Taran Taran dated 27.07.2018 enclosing Annexures R-1 to R-3 on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 filed in Court today, is taken on record.

3. Having regard to the petitioner's prayer only to direct respondents no.1 to 3 to act upon petitioner's representation and the fact that official respondents have already taken note of and prepared and furnished report to that extent, present petition do not survive for consideration.

4. Accordingly, present petition stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy before the authority.

July 27, 2018
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No