

CRM-M-23204-2017(O&M)

Date of decision:10.08.2018

Arti and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Ms. Anshika Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Vide order dated 04.07.2017, the interim protection was granted to the petitioners and the case has been adjourned from time to time.

Thereafter, today, learned State counsel submits that in this matter, an FIR No. 471, dated 30.06.2017 was registered at the instance of brother of petitioner No.1. However, after petitioner No.1 had made a statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the Police has filed a cancellation report. It is further submitted that both the sides have submitted affidavit to the authorities that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

In view of the above, there is no reason for the petitioners to apprehend their life and liberty. Therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

10.08.2018

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No