

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-23191 of 2017

Date of decision: May 02, 2018

Deepak and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. A.K. Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

Rajan Gupta, J.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in a case registered against the petitioners under Sections 346/506/34 IPC at Police Station Sadar, District Rewari, vide FIR No.79 dated 07.06.2017.

The FIR was registered on the basis of complaint made by Sharmila, wherein she stated that she was married to Manoj Kumar on 3.2.2012 and had a male child about three years old. On 27.07.2015, her brother-in-law Deepak and father-in-law Jagmal sent her husband Manoj Kumar with her another brother-in-law Naveen. However, till date her husband had not returned. It was stated that Manoj Kumar had got some job in a Company. On being asked about his whereabouts, she was told that he would return soon. However, Manoj Kumar never returned. When she again made enquiries from her in-laws, she was assaulted and threatened.

She further stated that her brother-in-law Deepak had also turned out his wife stating that he had already eliminated Manoj Kumar, husband of the complainant.

I am of the considered view that allegations against the petitioners are serious in nature. Whereabouts of Manoj are still not known. Under the circumstances, I find no ground for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Matter needs a thorough probe. The petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

May 02, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No