

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2413 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:16.04.2018**

Saurabh

..... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Shashank Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate
for Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Rohit Khullar, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.47 dated 01.06.2017, under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Women Police Station Sector 17, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent no.2, the complainant, it is submitted have amicably resolved their differences and resumed matrimonial ties. They are living together since 09.03.2018. It is thus prayed that this petition be allowed.

Petitioner and respondent no.2 duly identified by their counsel are present in Court. Both of them affirm and verify the factum of resumption of matrimonial ties. Respondent no.2 submits that she has no objection in case this petition is allowed subject to due love and affection as well as care being provided to her in the matrimonial home. Petitioner present in Court undertakes to provide all amenities, due respect and

affection in the matrimonial home to his wife.

Learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh, on instructions from HC Rohtas Singh, verifies that the petitioner and respondent no.2 are living together. The petitioner is not reported to be involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 15.02.2018, is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

16.04.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.