

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-24089 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:05.10.2018

Aishpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

Present:- Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arun Kumar Vasudeva, Advocate  
for complainant-respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 31.5.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Daulat Ram. However, learned counsel for respondent-State submits that recovery of ₹4,75,000/- has not been effected from the petitioner.

The allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court during trial.

In view of the above, interim order dated 31.5.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 05, 2018

pooja

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**

**JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No