

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.24088 of 2018

Date of Decision: 24.07.2018

Ranjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and a settlement has also been arrived at between the petitioner and the complainant. The parties have filed a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise, whereas, learned State counsel submits that it is not in the knowledge of the Investigating Officer of the case as to whether any compromise has been arrived at between the parties or not.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of.

However, in case, the statement made by the petitioner is found to be false, the State is at liberty to move an application for recalling of order and a heavy cost would be imposed upon him.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

24.07.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No