

CRM-M-24069-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24069-2018

Date of Decision:21.09.2018

R.S.Grewal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amardeep Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 07 dated 20.06.2014, registered for offences punishable under Sections 406/409/420/467/468/471/120-B IPC and Section 13 (1) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, at Police Station State Vigilance Bureau, Panchkula.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-24069-2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner was member of the Committee comprising of Krishan Kumar Sharma, Raghubir Singh Dhanda and Charanjit Singh, who has approved the tender in favour of Tilak Raj. Learned counsel further contends that other three committee members have already been allowed anticipatory bail by this Court.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 31.05.2018 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation. He is not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending him to custody. Otherwise also, the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail on the ground of parity.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 31.05.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

21.09.2018

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No