

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M- 23049-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 02, 2018

Urvashi and another

Petitioners

Versus

Puneet Chopra

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed by Urvashi Chopra w/o Puneet Chopra under Section 482 Cr.P.c. for quashing/setting aside the impugned complaint No. 26/14-M dated 23.04.2014 under Section 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and for quashing/setting aside the impugned summoning order dated 29.04.2014 passed by District Magistrate, Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in fact all disputes between the parties stands settled and FIR No. 33 dated 11.05.2012 which stood under Sections 406, 498-A of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana, has also been quashed and divorce has also been granted.

This petition has been pending in this Court since 2014. On the last date of hearing, there was no appearance on behalf of the respondent-

Puneet Chopra and even today there is no representation on his behalf.

In view of the fact that the matter stands settled amicably and FIR under Sections 406, 498-A already stands quashed, this Court allows the instant petition and quashes the impugned order summoning the petitioners herein as well as the complainant, filed under Section 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, leaving it open to the respondents to have this order set aside in case the compromise that has been arrived at between the parties does not cover proceedings that had been initiated by Puneet Chopra in proceedings under Section 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

Petition stands allowed.

November 02, 2018

seema

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No