

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23859-2018

Date of decision:-18.7.2018

Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Harvinder Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr.Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Ms.Harvinder Kaur had filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. against her husband – Balwinder Singh for grant of maintenance. Vide order dated 25.11.2016, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar observing that she was unable to maintain herself and her husband Balwinder Singh having sufficient means inasmuch as being in Government service working as Pharmacist getting salary of Rs.45,335/- had neglected and refused to maintain her, granted maintenance @ Rs.15,000/- per month.

Balwinder Singh, who has filed the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. did not pay the maintenance amount to his wife regularly. He had preferred a revision petition challenging the order

passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar granting monthly maintenance to his wife but that petition was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide order dated 7.5.2018 for the reason that he was in arrears of maintenance, which he had not cleared. Placing reliance upon authority **Kuku Ram Versus Ram Beti, 2011(184) DLT 168 by Delhi High Court**, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar had dismissed the revision petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner besides going through the record and I do not see any reason to upset the order passed by the Courts below while exercising jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The petition being without merit stands dismissed accordingly.

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

18.7.2018
Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No