

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-23654 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:16.10.2018

Shamshad AliPetitioner
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for respondent-State on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh submits that the complaint filed by the complainant was inquired into and the same was found to be false.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that one more FIR was got registered at the instance of some other person, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in that case, the petitioner has been released on bail and challan has not been presented so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 12.6.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel.

The allegations are matter of evidence and the same can be tested by the trial Court during trial.

Accordingly, interim order dated 12.6.2018 passed by this Court in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 16, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No