

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 23636 of 2018
Date of decision: 29.05.2018.**

Shailender Goyal

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the official respondents to take appropriate action against respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had purchased a car from Tata Motors and had obtained a loan for this purpose. Respondent No.4, who works in Tata Motors, had obtained a cheque from the petitioner for repayment of the loan amount but by adding the words 'Kalair Motors' in the cheque, he got the same deposited in the account of Kalair Motors, which is owned by his son and was later encashed by Kalair Motors. This amount was not adjustable towards the loan amount of the petitioner. He has sent a representation in this regard to respondents No.2 and 3. A copy of the representation sent to respondent No.3 on 02.05.2018 is annexed as Annexure P1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a similar representation has also been sent to respondent No.2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur on 02.05.2018 by registered post.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the representation of the petitioner and take action, if necessary, in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.05.2018
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No