

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23512-2018
Decided on: 30.08.2018**

Surjanpal Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Petitioners – Surjanpal Singh and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 437 dated 29.11.2014, for offences under Sections 423, 406, 498-A and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, against them, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and complainant Sarbjit Kaur - arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 29.05.2018, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. The respondent No. 1 - State of Punjab through State counsel, whereas respondent No.2 through Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Advocate, had put in appearance. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Tarn Taran, through District and Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, in terms of which complainant Sarbjit Kaur and accused, namely, Surjanpal Singh, Narinderpal Singh, Manjit Kaur, Parminder Kaur and Rajinderpal Singh had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further complainant has stated that she has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. It has been reported that no accused has been declared proclaimed offender in the FIR in question. Alongwith the report statement of the complainant and all the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “*finest hour of justice*”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

It is stated that challan has been filed and trial is going on.

Learned counsel for the petitioners stated that a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act by Surjanpal Singh and Sarbjit Kaur has been filed. Statements have been recorded on first motion and now statements are to be recorded on second motion on 20.11.2018.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid FIR alongwith ancillary proceedings is hereby quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

30.08.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No