IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23491-2018
Date of decision: 27.09.2018

Ajay **...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab **...Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. J. K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. *(Oral)*

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 54 dated 05.05.2018, registered under Section 61(1) of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa.

The operative part of the order dated 29.05.2018, vide which petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

> **"Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegation in the FIR, no recovery was effected from the petitioner and he is not involved in any other case. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the recovery was effected from co-accused, who was arrested at the spot and has already been granted concession of bail.**
>
> **Notice of motion for 27.09.2018 "**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 29.05.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Janta

Mohammad Waseem Ansari
2018.09.27 15:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to petitioner, vide order dated 29.05.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

September 27, 2018 **(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**
Waseem Ansari **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*

Mohammad Waseem Ansari
2018.09.27 15:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document