

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23410-2018

Date of decision: 17.10.2018

Tehal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. P.B.S. Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mohd. Sartaj, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.22 dated 05.02.2018 under Sections 365, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station "Cantonment" District Police Commissionerate Amritsar and subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Jagir Singh son of S. Parkash Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 29.05.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial court/illqa magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise entered between the parties is out of their free will and genuine in nature.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2 (complainant), have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

It has been submitted that no injury has been caused to anyone. As such offence under the Arms Act is not made out.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed and FIR No.22 dated 05.02.2018 under Sections 365, 506, 148, 149 IPC Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station "Cantonment" District Police Commissionerate Amritsar and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise stands quashed qua the petitioners.

October 17, 2018
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no