

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226

CRM-M-22485-2014

Date of Decision: January 10 , 2018

Chetna Latwal

.....Petitioner

versus

UT of Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Aarti, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Toor, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for quashing order dated 31.03.2014 (Annexure P4), whereby, the petitioner has been denied the opportunity to cross-examine the complainant-respondent No.2.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record of the learned trial Court.

3. On reading of impugned order, it becomes clear that the learned trial Court was justified in declining the request of the petitioner to cross-examine the complainant. The present petition has been pending since the year 2014 and passing of final order has been stayed by this Court. Learned counsel for Union Territory, Chandigarh, also submits that the trial has not been completed even though there is no order of stay of trial.

4. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant the petitioner one more opportunity to cross-examine the complainant/respondent No.2 at her own risk and responsibility. The needful be done on the next date of hearing before the learned trial Court i.e. 15.01.2018, failing which no further opportunity would be granted to the petitioner and the trial Court shall proceed further with the case in accordance with law.

5. Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

10.01.2018

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned -
Whether reportable-

Yes/No
Yes/No