

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Smriti
2018.05.30 17:20
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-23362-2018

Date of decision: 29.05.2018

Rajni Rampal and Anr

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Anr

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Amit Kaeth, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

This is the third anticipatory bail application on behalf of petitioner No.1-Rajni Rampal and fourth bail application on behalf of petitioner-Karan Rampal in a criminal complaint No. 1 dated 12.02.2016 filed under Section 3, 32 of Haryana Protection of interest of Depositors in Financial Establishment Act.

Counsel for the petitioners could not give any reasonable explanation as to how this petition for anticipatory bail is maintainable, as in the previous bail application filed by the petitioners before this Court i.e. CRM-M-26583-2017 vide order dated 28.07.2017, a direction was issued that the petitioners will appear before the trial Court and will be released on interim bail subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds. Again time period was extended on 25.08.2017 to comply with the said direction, however, later on, the petition was withdrawn by the petitioners on 23.10.2017 as the petitioners failed to appear before the trial Court.

In view of the same, I find no reason to entertain the present anticipatory bail application as the petitioners have failed to abide by the order dated 28.07.2017 as noticed above and later on withdrew the earlier anticipatory bail application on 23.10.2017. Even otherwise, the impugned complaint is pending since 2013 and it appears that the petitioners are avoiding the service of summons/warrants intentionally by not appearing before the trial Court by filing repeated applications under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

29.05.2018

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No