

**CRM-M-23302-2018 and
CRM-M-24064-2018**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 13.07.2018

1. CRM-M-23302-2018

Harpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-24064-2018

Inderjit Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-23302-2018, filed by
petitioner-Harpreet Singh and CRM-M-24064-2018, filed by petitioners-
Inderjit Singh and Rajinder Kumar @ Guddi, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for

**CRM-M-23302-2018 and
CRM-M-24064-2018**

grant of anticipatory bail in cross-case registered vide G.D. No.17 dated 03.04.2018, under Sections 307, 452, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, to FIR No.28 dated 23.03.2018, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural, under Sections 307 and 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the DDR in the cross-case, only *lalkara* has been attributed to petitioner-Harpreet Singh. As regards petitioners-Inderjit Singh and Rajinder Kumar @ Guddi, neither any injury, nor any *lalkara* has been attributed to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner-Harpreet Singh has received gun-shot injuries on his chest in the main FIR case.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 28.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-23302-2018 and dated 30.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-24064-2018, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. No injury is attributed to anybody. Nothing is to be recovered from them. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by

sending them to custody.

**CRM-M-23302-2018 and
CRM-M-24064-2018**

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 28.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-23302-2018 and dated 30.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-24064-2018, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

13.07.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No