

CRM-M-23253-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23253-2018

Date of Decision: 04.07.2018

Ram Rajan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S.Gurna, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rubal Garg, Advocate,
for the complainants.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Ram Rajan Singh has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.57 dated 19.04.2018, registered at Police Station Urban Estate Patiala, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 82 of the Registration Act, 1977.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainants have also appeared through their counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-23253-2018

As per the case of prosecution, complainant-Manoj Kumar purchased a plot from Ram Rajan Singh, the present petitioner. The petitioner had purchased the same plot from Balwinder Singh and Davinder Singh, who were attorneys of Kamaljit Singh and Amarjit Singh. Later on, it was found that they had sold the said plot on the basis of forged attorneys to the present petitioner, who further sold the same to the complainant. Accused-Davinder Singh is close relative of the present petitioner. Furthermore to show the conspiracy, it was argued by learned counsel for the complainants that two cheques which were received by the present petitioner from his close relative, Davinder Singh and one Balwinder Singh, were not encashed.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

04.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No