

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.23252 of 2018

Date of Decision: 13.11.2018

Aasin Khan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Ms. Tanushree Gupta, D.A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 11.07.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and this fact has been affirmed by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sube Singh.

Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations of depositing the amount in the account and thereafter its withdrawal in connivance with co-accused. The said amount which was withdrawn might be received by the petitioner.

However, learned State counsel submits that the main accused has been arrested and the present petitioner is not required as investigation qua him has been completed.

In view of the above, interim order dated 11.07.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

13.11.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No