

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23244-2018
Date of decision: 14.09.2018**

Sukhjinder Singh Aulakh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. L. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 91 dated 14.10.2017, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC at Police Station City-1, Mansa, District Mansa.

The operative part of the order dated 29.05.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia draws attention of the Court to the Zimini Report of the SIT dated 02.02.2018 on the basis of which the petitioner's application for anticipatory bail was rejected by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Mansa, but perusal of which however indicates that the only allegations imputed to the petitioner are those of professional negligence.

Notice of motion.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 29.05.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sukhjinder Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 29.05.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

September 14, 2018

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No