

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M No. 23232 of 2018
Date of Decision : 11.09.2018

Harnek Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K.Chopra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate for respondent no.2.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner seek anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in complaint case No. 6535 dated 08.10.2013 under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act, titled as ***Ranjit Singh Versus Harnek Singh***.

2. This Court vide order dated 29.05.2018 had directed the petitioner to appeal before the JMIC and furnish bail bond to the entire satisfaction of Court. Pursuant to order dated 29.05.2018 petitioner has approached trial court in respect of furnishing of bonds and it has been accepted and he is released on bail.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions of Investigation Officer states that in pursuance to the order dated 29.05.2018 petitioner has joined proceedings

4. In view of these factual aspect and circumstances, without

expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 29.05.2018, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or other wise interfere with the fair trial.

6. Accordingly, the petition stands allowed.

11.09.2018
pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No