

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-23225 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 31, 2018

Vijay Sikka

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. G.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 178 dated 19.12.2017, under Section 174-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.6, District Jalandhar.

2. This Court vide order dated 29.05.2018 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of arrest by the Investigating/Arresting Officer, petitioner was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Heera Singh, submitted that in pursuance to order dated 29.05.2018, petitioner appeared before the Arresting Officer and joined the investigation. He is further submitted that petitioner is not required for the purpose of custodial

interrogation in the present case.

4. In view of these facts and circumstance, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, order dated 29.05.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. Petitioner shall remain bound by the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

5. The petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

6. Petition stands allowed.

July 31, 2018
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No