

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.22158 of 2017
Date of Decision: 27.02.2018

Sanjay Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Mohit Nehra, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Seen Case Diary.

There is serious prejudicial material available against the
petitioner, the vehicle in question is yet to be recovered.

As such, this Court is not inclined to grant the petitioner the
benefit of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

The order of interim bail stands recalled.

27.02.2018

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No