

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22988-2018

Date of Decision:01.06.2018

Vishal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms.Monika Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody for about 3 years, with a large number of prosecution witnesses still to be examined, learned State counsel points to the fact that the petitioner alongwith one Pankaj is stated to have fired from the pistol upon the deceased; and as regards the trial dragging on, as per his instructions it is due to the fact that prosecution witnesses are not being cross-examined by the counsel for the accused.

In view of the role attributed to the petitioner as per the FIR, without making any comment on the actual culpability of the petitioner or otherwise, which would be naturally gone into by the trial Court wholly on the basis of evidence led before it, I do not think it is a case where the petitioner can be admitted to bail despite the length of his incarceration pending trial; however, the trial Court is directed to ensure that unless it is

counsel for the accused himself who is delaying the trial, it is concluded within six months.

Disposed of.

June 01, 2018

dharamvir/nitin

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether Reportable : YES