

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22986-2018
Date of Decision: 31st May, 2018

Jitender @ Mitha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Rajat Gautam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, prays for withdrawal of the present petition at this stage. He, however, states that the petitioner is in custody since 25.07.2016 and till date, only two prosecution witnesses have been examined and therefore, the trial is not likely to conclude in near future.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Suresh Kumar, P.S. Barwala, District Hisar, has explained the delay by stating that because of an application moved under Section 319 of Cr.P.C. and thereafter, the pendency of the revision petition before this Court, which has been finally decided in February, 2018 and thereafter, only one witness has been examined.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, counsel for the petitioner prays that the trial may be expedited.

In view of the above factual aspects, let the trial be expedited and an endeavour be made by the trial Court to conclude the same at an early date.

The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

(**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI**)
JUDGE

31st May, 2018

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No