

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

F.A.O No. 2665 of 2000

Date of decision:- 20.02.2018

Nayub Khan

...Appellant

Versus

Gulla and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- None for the appellant

Mr. Vinod Chaudhary, Advocate
for the respondent-Insurance company

RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)

1. The present appeal has been preferred by the claimant-appellant, seeking enhancement of the amount of compensation awarded by the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Karnal (for short, 'the Tribunal') to the tune of Rs.10,000/- vide impugned award dated 27.07.2000 in a claim petition No. 145-1999 filed by the present appellant on account of the injuries suffered by him in a road accident, which took place on 13.01.1996 wherein one jeep bearing registration No. DL-4-CC-8846 struck against the cycle of the appellant and due to that he suffered injuries. F.I.R was registered against the driver of the jeep.

3. The learned Tribunal awarded Rs.10,000/- compensation to the appellant as P.W.2 Dr. K.L. Sachdeva stated that he charged Rs.250 for P.O.P cast and used to charge Rs.40/- for each visit. The appellant has not been able to show that he had spent Rs.50,000/- to Rs.60,000/- on his treatment. He has not produced any bills. The appellant had suffered fracture of both bones of right fore-arm besides this he has not suffered any injury.

In view of the above factual position, the compensation of Rs.10,000/- has rightly been awarded by the Tribunal, as the appellant has not placed on record any other evidence that he had spent Rs.50,000/- to Rs.60,000/- on his treatment.

Thus, the impugned award dated 27.07.2000 passed by the Tribunal does not require any interference.

The appeal is dismissed.

20.02.2018
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No