

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-22763 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 31, 2018**

Taj Mohammad alias Taji

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Kunal Vinayak, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.20 dated 24.02.2017 under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station GRP, District Amritsar.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the allegations, 15 injections each of Buprenorphene and Avil were recovered from the present petitioner. The recovery of 15 injections of Buprenorphene falls under commercial quantity. Section 37 of

the NDPS Act bars the grant of bail to the accused in case commercial

quantity.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the provisions of Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not find it a fit case, where petitioner is entitled to benefit of regular bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

July 31, 2018	(INDERJIT SINGH)
Vgulati	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No