

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 04.09.2018

1. CRM-M-22709-2018

Manjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-22739-2018

Prabhpreet Singh @ Bura

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in both these petitions is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.172 dated 11.12.2017 under Sections 325, 341, 323, 148, 149 IPC and Section 379 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur.

While granting interim bail to the petitioners, following order was passed on 01.06.2018: -

*“Counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the FIR was registered*

*after delay of about 15 days as the incident is of about 26.11.2017 and the FIR was registered on 11.12.2017.*

*Counsel for the petitioner(s) further submits that the main accused i.e. Ranjodh Singh has already been arrested and released on regular bail and the allegation against petitioner Manjeet Singh is that he had pulled out the complainant out of the car and snatched his chain.*

*Counsel for petitioner Prabhpreet Singh @ Bura submits that he has been attributed a datar blow from the reverse side to the complainant. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the offence under Section 379 IPC was added later on and the petitioner(s) is not involved in any other case.*

*Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that co-accused Ranjodh Singh has already been released on bail.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of the order dated 01.06.2018, petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioners are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, both these petitions are allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 01.06.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

**[ ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN ]**  
**JUDGE**

04.09.2018  
*vishnu*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No