

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22708-2018
Date of decision: 18.09.2018**

Rajni Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 12 dated 14.02.2018, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Tibber, District Gurdaspur.

The operative part of the order dated 29.05.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, in the year 2015, the complainant had given the amount to husband of the petitioner namely Sourabh Mehta. It is further submitted that it is case of the complainant that the amount was deposited in the account of husband of the petitioner and no transaction has taken place between the petitioner and the complainant. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has been involved in FIR only for the reason that she is wife of said Sourabh Mehta and just to put pressure on the petitioner, FIR has been registered. It is also submitted that even as per allegations in the FIR, the transaction has taken place in the year 2015 and the FIR has been

registered in the year 2018. Notice of motion for 18.09.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 29.05.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Shamsher Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 29.05.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

September 18, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*