

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

125

CRM-M No.22543 of 2018

Date of Decision: May 29th, 2018

Rahul Vohar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for some time, prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to first approach the trial Court highlighting therein not only the factum of compromise but further that there is no bar as far as the operation of the account is concerned as the order dated 25.07.2017 passed by this Court was limited to the extent of bail application only and it did not bind the trial Court for all times to come even under the changed circumstances after the dismissal of the application for grant of anticipatory bail.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

May 29th, 2018

Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No