

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22498-2018

Date of decision: 18.07.2018

Gurdeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Kiran Bala Jain, Advocate, with
Ms. Prabha Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 45 dated 25.03.2014 under Sections 498A/ 406 / 323 / 506 IPC registered at Police Station Panjokhra (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise entered into between the parties.

The marriage of respondent No.2 was solemnized with petitioner No.1 on 22.11.2009 according to Sikh rites and ceremonies. Out of this wedlock one son, namely Agam Singh, was born. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the aforesaid FIR was lodged by respondent No.2.

However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise. A divorce petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act with mutual consent has been filed.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, learned AAG, Haryana on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No.2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate

conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 45 dated 25.03.2014 under Sections 498A/ 406 / 323 / 506 IPC registered at Police Station Panjokhra (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

18.07.2018

Satyawan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Yes.

No.