

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22483-2018
Date of decision: 18.09.2018**

Vajid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 2 dated 11.01.2018, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 307, 325, 452 and 506 of the IPC at Police Station Khizrabad, District Yamuna Nagar.

The operative part of the order dated 24.05.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that as per the allegations in the FIR, petitioner along with others has pelted stones into the house of the complainant. He contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and in the commission of substantive offence, his name does not figure in the FIR. It is subsequently that his name has been incorporated in the FIR merely because he is the son-in-law of the main accused Salim and resident of a different area. Counsel contends that the similarly placed co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Roshan has been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court in CRM-M-21100 of 2018 titled as Roshan vs. State of Haryana.

Notice of motion, for 18.09.2018. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 24.05.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Shamsher Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 24.05.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

September 18, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*