

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22462-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-02.08.2018.

Parmod Kumar @ Parveen

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for regular bail in case FIR No.440 dated 11.05.2017, under Sections 302/201/34 IPC, registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind.

2.) Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is in custody since 25.05.2017. Out of 18 witnesses 7 witnesses have been examined including the complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that petitioner is not involved in any other case. Therefore, petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail. The aforesaid statement relating to custody and examination of witnesses is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

3.) Heard.

4.) In view of these facts and circumstances, petitioner is entitled

to the benefit of regular bail; therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, present petition is allowed. Petitioner-Parmod Kumar @ Parveen is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the entire satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

5.) However, petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

6.) Petitioner shall also appear before the Station House Officer concerned on last Saturday of every month at 10.00 a.m. and mark his presence.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

August 02, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.