

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

Sunil
20.06.2018 17:09
Attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-22458-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.06.2018

Rajinder Singh

..Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. G.S. Bal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. ADS Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(Oral)

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 67 dated 13.08.2017 registered under Sections 304-B, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Qila Lal Singh, District Gurdaspur.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the husband and he is suffering from polio and it was his second marriage and third marriage of the victim and it was not a case of dowry demand as the marriage was simple. The counsel also refers to the inquiry report and states that all the other relatives who were named, were given a clean chit and it was not possible for the petitioner who himself is handicapped to administer poison forcibly to the deceased.

The State counsel states that the incident had taken place at 7:00 P.M. and the husband was home that evening while the rest of the family were away and allegations have been levelled by the complainant

and there was a demand of dowry and taunts were thrown at her for not producing a child.

Sunil
2018-06-01 17:09
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

The allegations against the petitioner are serious. No explanation has come forward as to why the deceased would take her life. No case for anticipatory bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

01.06.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No