

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22365 of 2018
Date of Decision: 30.05.2018

Gurmeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No. 383 dated 31.12.2017, under Sections 379-A and 34 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 31.12.2017 and the co-accused namely Rahul Kumar has been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 04.05.2018 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula. It is also contended that report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented and charges have also been framed and the case is fixed for prosecution evidence for 12.07.2018. It is further contended that there are total 8 Pws, but out of them only one has been examined till date. He also submitted that the matter has already been compromised between the parties and there is no other

criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Keeping in view the fact that co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Rahul Kumar has already been granted the concession of regular bail by learned trial Court and the case is fixed for prosecution evidence for 12.07.2018. There are total 8 prosecution witnesses, but out of the only one has been examined till date and the matter has already been compromised between the parties and there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner. Thus, the trial is likely to take a long time to be concluded and as such no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more.

Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Gurmeet Singh be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 30, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no